
Subject: Anyone using K-metering ?

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 06 Oct 2007 15:53:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

DC

>You are so right. I sent a little doggie to the other side a few years
>back. My eyes were off the road for probably one second. In that weensie
>little bit of time, I could have braked just enough to have avoided him...
> Hope all your leftovers get taken care of quickly.
>MR

I've done that one too, except I did not lose even a moment of concentration,
he just ran out like a bullet....

I cried for hours, I must admit. Sometimes this world really sucks.

thanks for the nice words.

DC"DJ" <animix _ at _ animas _ dot _ net> wrote:

>Be glad your daughter's head didn't impact the windshield. This happened
to
>me in a rearend when I was just a little kid andwell.....you

Subject: Re: Anyone using K-metering ?

Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Sat, 06 Oct 2007 16:49:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;/A>...</DIV>

<DIV>I learned tonight that I have fried =
two new=20

Mecs again.</DIV>

<DIV>The EDS cards are all good. I =
hopefully=20

can</DIV>

<DIV>fix the mecs for resale with the =
chips I've=20

bought.</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>I have what is probably a messed up =

Magma. Maybe fixed</DIV>

<DIV>now that there's a new PS but I'm =
not sure and=20

don't care</DIV>

<DIV>anymore. It will be at least =
\$300 to=20

ship/repair.</DIV>
<DIV>I have possibly a messed up mobo or =

power supply </DIV>
<DIV>in my Paris computer also. It =
works fine=20
without eds cards</DIV>
<DIV>installed though. Not sure =
about this=20
just yet.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>The eds cards work fine with =
another Mec and=20
442 in another</DIV>
<DIV>computer.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>My plan is to keep a two card =
system=20
with 16 in and 16 out with an</DIV>
<DIV>ADAT card in one MEC. I am =
there=20
now. Can you say summing bus?&

Subject: Re: Anyone using K-metering ?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Sat, 06 Oct 2007 19:23:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It;DIV>I can't play as fast or as clean as I =
used=20
to.</DIV>
<DIV><FONT face=3DAr

Subject: Re: Anyone using K-metering ?
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Sat, 06 Oct 2007 19:26:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ial size=3D2>I never used a 902 but I've also never =
been=20
satisfied with</DIV>
<DIV>any software DeEsser.</DIV>
<DIV>White/pink noise can be wicked cool on =
a=20
snare.</DIV>
<DIV>I haven't written a new song in over a=20
year.</DIV>

<DIV>Music history always brings big picture = emotions=20
out of me too.</DIV>
<DIV> </DIV>
<DIV>I'm sure tomorrow is playing a big part = in your=20
mind set. </DIV>
<DIV>will be the start of another level for = you. =20
Believe it!</DIV>
<DIV>I wish you the best of luck for your=20 surgery!</DIV>>

Subject: Re: Anyone using K-metering ?
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Mon, 08 Oct 2007 12:14:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ose issues. They

>> scream
>> and yell and hem and haw and tell me I'm nuts but they have yet to come up
>> with a compelling argument to counter mine. Conservatives (not the ones

>> like
>> me, the other kinds) are going to get their comeuppance probably after the
>> next election for executive power. Seems like a great idea to decide the
>> president is above the law when you're guy is president, but the history
>> of this country is the gradual erosion of judicial and legislative power,
>> i.e. the executive branch rarely gives anything back. I suspect any
>> Democrat
>> who runs against any of the current Republicans will win, and then all of
>> that executive power wrenched away from Congress will be in the hands of
>> the other party. Law of unintended consequences, but I for one will have
>> a hearty belly laugh when the Rush Limbaugh set starts howling about some
>> abuse of executive power when a Democrat is the abuser.
>>
>> Anyway, enlighten me about Hillary.
>
&

Subject: Re: Anyone using K-metering ?

nner

>>getting to hang with Bono? Suppose there is no one to

>>save us? then what?

>>

>>DC

>>Instant runoff voting or some other preferential voting system would

>help avoid "lesser of two evils" voting.

I do like your thinking, but I believe there are some issues with it.

IMHO...

Firstly, the implementation paradox. Neither of the major parties are likely to bring in something to diminish their duopoly as "THE major parties". Hence it seems likely you'd need a minor party/candidate to win in order to get it happening. In other words, to change the system so that a minor candidate can win, a minor candidate has to win. Catch 22.

Secondly, we have preferential voting here in Australia, and while I do think it's, well, preferable, it's not some wondrous solution to the faults of democracy. Our federal election is in a month, and a recent poll just showed that the leading party has 47% of the primary vote (ie 47% of Australians are going to choose them as no.1 option). In other words, while we are perfectly able to do otherwise, for some reason the general population votes for the major parties as first preference regardless of the fact that you can, effectively, vote for both the majors as the last two options, and in effect, your vote ends up with one of them and has the same strength as it would have if you'd voted them as no.1 if you get my drift. In some ways it makes things worse. I don't think it's "the problem" as such.

The problem, fundamentally, in both the U.S. and here, is that the public think there are only two options and vote accordingly because they don't want some "weirdo" getting in (ironic really). One would suggest that a massive education campaign is required to explain to the common man why this line of thinking is flawed, but who is going to do it? The major parties obviously won't. Nobody reads books (well, not enough). The media? The media would argue, and disappointingly they have a point, that their role is to report the status quo, not alter it. I realize they are far from doing this well in many cases, but they actually do it reasonably in this case. The media would simply say that:

(*) It's not our job to enforce deliberate change.

(*) The major parties are currently most likely to win.

(*) Because the majors will win, we should focus reporting on them.

And you and I would say:

(*) It's because you focus reporting on them that they win.

And they would point out that:

(*) Even if we adjusted our reporting, the public is so set in the idea of voting for a major party that most will do so anyhow.

(*) The only way to change this mindset would be for the media to deliberately re-educate the public for a decade, perhaps several. At what point are they pushing their ideals, when their role should be to simply report what goes on.

See my point? It's an unfortunate collaboration of catch 22's.

The only way around it that I can say is to have some amazingly intelligent, charismatic, ethical, and powerful individual take leadership of one of the existing major parties in order to enforce some major changes and help educate the public. Unfortunately I've got other things on at the moment. ;o)

Cheers,

Kim. I can't get any bandwidth from either server. guess I'll have to wait a week for some bandwidth. get half way and the server ju

Subject: Re: Anyone using K-metering ?

Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Mon, 08 Oct 2007 18:50:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>

mix

>that only contained 1 track of UAD plugins..Uad Fairchild and =
Cambridge. I

>still got clicks and pops (about 6 total). when I get rid of all the =
UAD

>plugins there are no cl
