

---

Subject: Ensoniq  
Posted by [ulfiyya](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 15:10:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

\_blank">1@linux...

Hi,=20

Just wondering if anyone has any idea how I can get my FX presets to =  
save  
in XP (Paris v3). Whenever I reboot I loose them all?

Should I try r

---

---

Subject: Re: Ensoniq  
Posted by [duncan](#) on Sat, 16 Jul 2005 16:41:02 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

r />  
> =3D  
></DIV><BR>><BLOCKQUOTE=3D3D20<BR>>style=3D3D3D"PADDING-RIGHT: =3D  
>0px;=3D20  
> PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =3D3D<BR>>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 =  
=3D  
>2px solid;=3D20  
> MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px"><BR>> <DIV>"dan b"=3D20  
> <<A=3D3D20<BR>> =3D3D<BR>>href=3D3D3D"<A=3D20  
&g

---

---

Subject: Re: Ensoniq  
Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Tue, 19 Jul 2005 03:22:51 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

e\_@animas.net> wrote in message  
> news:431b202a@linux...  
> > This is one major reason that the Democrats lost the presidential  
> > election.  
> > People like you and Howard Dean show up to the party dressed in your  
> > insane  
> > clown suits and immediately anything you have to say is discounted due  
> to  
> > your demeanor and previous track record .....and that's a shame  
> > because  
> > everyone should have a say. When you sound like a broken record spouting  
> > the

> > same old party line, your say isn't taken as seriously as it might be if  
> > it  
> > was based on actually trying to see both sides of an issue.  
>  
> I'm not a democrat asshole... I just hate Bush. I've almost always voted  
> Republican, and I voted Green in the last election.  
>  
> If you dont like what I have to say regarding my opinion, thats fine.  
When  
> you pull Howard Dean out of your ass, really you're just exposing your OWN  
> BIAS, the very thing you seem to be critisizing any non-bush-apologists  
for.  
>  
> Bush is the fuckin clown, it pisses me off, and I'm not afraid to say it.  
>  
>"DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote in message  
news:431b2393@linux...  
> When  
>> you pull Howard Dean out of your ass, really you're just exposing your  
>> OWN  
>> BIAS, the very thing you seem to be critisizing any non-bush-apologists  
>  
> When you sound like Howard Dean, you invite the comaprison.....and you  
> sound \*exactly like Howard DEan. I don't give shit what label you give  
> yourself, it's your actions that speak for themselves and you're living in  
> his camp dude. If you want to hate Bush, fine. Why not try to look at both  
> sides rather than letting left wing interest groups do your tinkering for  
> you?

You're absolutely crazy Deej.

My thoughts have been formed the last week primarily viewing mainstream  
network news.

You're stuck in partisan mode, and somehow you think it's rubbing off on me. When I was living in  
New Orleans in the 80's there was a lot of discussion  
about how the depletion of the water table was actually causing the city to  
sink even farther below sea level.

The original city (the French Quarter and the area long St. Charles and  
Carrolton known locally as \*uptown\*) survived this and always has survived  
hurricanes. This is because the original founders of the city recognized  
that they needed to build on the high ground. The surrounding suburbs are  
drained wetlands. This whole area used to be a large dry knoll surrounded by  
something similar to the everglades.

"DTerry" <dterry@no\_spamkeyofd.net> wrote in message

news:BF40778B.40E0%dterry@no\_spamkeyofd.net...

> I think the discussion here, and the actual situation in New Orleans at hand  
> is that the levees broke, and wetlands had nothing to do with it - the Lake  
> just exceeded capacity - a hazard New Orleans has always faced - building a  
> city below sea level is a nightmare waiting to happen, unless you build  
> 100ft high, 100ft deep concrete barriers all the way around.  
>  
> Wetlands are not a sufficient barrier between a city and water, and the fact  
> that part of New Orleans was built on filled in marshlands doesn't help -  
> saturated ground, surrounded by water, below water line is prime territory  
> for flooding - it's only a matter of time. There were many articles and  
> speculation that New Orleans could not survive a major hurricane - the city  
> was living on borrowed time unfortunately. All the political blame in the  
> world won't change the fact that this disaster happened because of New  
> Orleans location. Blaming the government for this disaster is no more  
> grounded than blaming the secretary of defense for allowing a tornado to  
> wipe out a farm in Kansas. Research also takes a bit of objectivity, both  
> scientific and political.  
>  
> I'm with you guys on environmental conservation - the more the better  
> (wetlands included), but developers hate the idea, and an

---

---

Subject: Re: Ensoniq

Posted by [Rich Lamanna](#) on Tue, 19 Jul 2005 05:01:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

.

>  
> "DJ" <animix\_spam-this-ahole\_@animas.net> wrote in message  
news:431b22d3\$1@linux...  
> The Metro line was working when the storm hit. You don't evacuate a city  
> during a storm. You do it before a storm. After the storm it's wayyyyy too  
> late. The governor could have had federal troops on the way to Louisiana  
> two days before the storm hit but she apparently didn't request them. She  
> has to do her job before Bush can do his. It's the way the law is written  
> If Bush had federalized Louisiana before the storm hit in violation of  
> federal law you and every other

---

---

Subject: Re: Ensoniq

Posted by [Rich Lamanna](#) on Tue, 19 Jul 2005 13:49:56 GMT

---

tures when you think about it.

good point.... theres no way to stop nature.

it would have been nice to keep those 2 levvees from breaking.

blame is completely pointless. responsibility is completely priceless.I'll tell you what-You can criticize Clinton all you want (i'm not a big lover of him either) But-He would have been on the ground In N.O showing the people that someone is in charge and that people care. Bush flew over the fucking place in Airforce 1 and still hasn't shown his face to the people. Nobody is perfect and I'm totally turned off to the democrats as well but the new hampshire paper got it right. He has shown no leadership and he talks about Trent Lotts fucking house.Step up to the plate.he hasn't.he has mismanaged this and Iraq terribly-we as a country are in trouble.

Oh Yeah he was sure on the case when he immediately flew to washington along with DeLay, Hasstert Frisch and these other hypocrits to promote their agenda to sign a bill for one brain dead white woman in florida-

Don't get me wrong the democrats have shown me NOTHING!! but Bush is a stupid detached man who has let his neocratic friends take us to ruin

I was no Guilliani fan either but when the Moment happened he was our leader who lead NY out of the darkness. For this he will always have my repect-Can't say th

---