
Subject: Paris and Creamware Setup insight (saving Paris !)

Posted by [Dimitrios](#) on Mon, 16 Oct 2006 14:54:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

y thing we have to fear is fear itself" FDR in another context.

>>

>> At any rate, it would be inaccurate to imply that anyone who doesn't agree
>> with a particular course of action is blind, deaf and dumb to potential
>> threats. Not a true nor useful characterization. Divisive at best. And
>> dividing ourselves IS one road to defeat.

>>

>> It's important to recognize what hasn't worked, to be open to better
>> ideas. So we can, together, find solutions that help our country and our
>> planet achieve peace.

>>

>> The first step toward getting out of a hole is to stop digging. That may
>> be one message of this election.

>>

>> Cheers,

>> -Jamie

>> www.JamieKrutz.com

>>

>>

>>

>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:45541a10@linux...

>>>> Sure, I hope you're wrong, too, DeeJ. Like me, you've been wrong before

>>>> so there's reason to hope. ;^)

>>>>

>>>> The prediction that we will be attacked again has been made many times
>>>> before this election. And if/when we are attacked next it will probably
>>>> have been planned before this election.

>>>>

>>>> But should it happen I'm sure a lot of cable TV blatherers will tell you
>>>> it's the fault of this election anyway. Flawed logic is still logic and
>>>> there's no goat like a scapegoat when the blatherers don't want to face
>>>> the possibility of other causes, like poorly considered policy and
>>>> action by whoever's not being scapegoated.

>>>>

>>>> We've suffered al-Qaeda attacks under both Democratic and Republican
>>>> presidents. Worse under Republicans with 9/11, but go ahead and blame
>>>> BOTH the Clinton and Bush Jr. administrations, or if it really makes you
>>>> feel better, just blame Clinton and give Bush a pass.

>>>>

>>>> Personally I can blame foreign policy back at least to WWI for
>>>> contributing to the setup of this situation. So IMO there's plenty of
>>>> blame to go around through many administrations in both major parties -
>>>> for those times we're in a blaming mood.

>>>>

>>>> For those times when we can get BEYOND being in a blaming mood, the
>>>> assumption that one party or another is eager to invite attack is
>>>> presumptuous. Surely there are at least a few real patriots left in BOTH
>>>> major parties AND the minor parties.

>>>>

>>>> There are different ways to attempt to meet any threat, diffuse the
>>>> threat and find solutions for any situation. Maybe, just maybe, we'll
>>>> get some further options on the table now to meet this threat, and
>>>> maybe, just maybe, they'll work better than the current approach which
>>>> has hardly been flawless.

>>>>

>>>> Whatever we do, let's not allow ourselves to be manipulated by
>>>> terrorists into strutting around acting like overcompensating insecure
>>>> spendthrift fools while undercutting our own democracy with shifty eyed
>>>> abject fear - IOW, becoming terrorized. Fear sells, but maybe, just
>>>> maybe, we're better than that.

>>>>

>>>> The best way to be strong is to come together, work together and choose
>>>> from the widest array of effective options.

>>>>

>>>> Cheers,

>>>> -Jamie

>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> DJ wrote:

>>>>> IOW, anyone who fails to take worthwhile action because of a
>>>>> perception that it will make them "seem weak" to someone else, is not
>>>>> smart enough
>>>>> to be running foreign policy.<

>>>>>

>>>>> perception of weakness is invitation to attack, plain and simple and we
>>>>> are now (especially now) perceived as weak and undecided. I'm
>>>>> predicting that we are going to be catastrophically attacked soon and
>>>>> that it will come as a result of an intelligence failure due to
>>>>> democrats restricting the ability of the NSA to wiretap. I hope I'm
>>>>> wrong.

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message

>>>>> news:45538a31@linux...

>>>>> I'm referring to any US leader who bases their policy on pleasing or
>>>>> not pleasing terrorists.

>>>>>

>>>>> IOW, anyone who fails to take worthwhile action because of a
>>>>> perception that it will make them "seem weak" to someone else, is not
>>>>> smart enough to be running foreign pol