
Subject: Re: OT; Who was the first president of th US?
Posted by [uptown jimmy](#) on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 00:18:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ared enough to send his son to die for us,
>>>>> changes the whole world. Scary huh?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> Perhaps the only thing "God" ever said to us was "Survive!" and then
>>> left
>>>>> it
>>>>>> up to us to figure out that cooperation works better than
> competition.
>>>>>> If God is in quotes for you, then why would "god's" opinion
>>>>>> matter? Well it doesn't of course. Survive, don't survive, bake
>>>>>> cookies, bake Jews, who is to say no? Why should anyone care if
>>>>>> they do? All is permitted.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> This is not God's plan for us.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The
>>>>>>> "Golden Rule" is not simply a nice idea, it's a very practical and
>>>>>>> effective
>>>>>>> way to live peacefully with our fellow humans.
>>>>>>> And the greedy dirtbag says "the one with the gold makes the
>>>>>>> rules".
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> And you disapprove. With only "god" (otherwise known as Sarah's
>>>>>>> opinion) to rebut him, why should he care?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> As far as speculating on the afterlife, I just don't care. I'm fine
>>> with
>>>>>>>> the mystery, in fact, I like a good mystery. Sure, it can be fun to
>>> say
>>>>>>>> "what if this . . . " or "what if that . . . " but let's be honest
>>> here
>>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>> none of us will know until the time comes, and maybe not even then.
>>> And
>>>>>>>> I'm
>>>>>>>> sorry, but "because the bible tells me so" doesn't work for me.
> It's
>>> just
>>>>>>>> stuff that was written by the brighter members of some fairly
> backward
>>>>>>>> human

>>>>>> societies thousands of years ago who may or may not have been
> inspired
>>> by
>>>>>> a
>>>>>> supreme being.
>>>>>> And you cannot see that this is merely your view, not fact.
>>>>>> It is an assertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
>>>>>> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>>>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>>>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>>>>> themselves...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>>>> assumptions about existence.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>>>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What
>>> do
>>>>>>> you
>>>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of
> brown
>>>>>>> rice?
>>>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists?
> 100?
>>>>>>> 500?
>>>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent
> and
>>>>>>> their
>>>>>>> families.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or
> >

Subject: OT; Who was the first president of th US?

Posted by [rick](#) on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 09:42:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sertion that there are no miracles, that God does not
> >> care if we have a we to know Him or not, that things proceeded in
> >> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
> >> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
> >> themselves...
> >>
> >> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
> >> assumptions about existence.
> >>

> >>

> >>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
 > >>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What
 do

> >> you

> >>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown
 > >>> rice?

> >>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?
 > >> 500?

> >>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and
 > >>> their
 > >>> families.

> >>>

> >>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or
 maimed.

> >>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think
 of

> >> some

> >>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's
 a

> >>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits
 and

> >>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.

> >>>

> >>> Sarah

> >>

> >> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no
 > >> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all
 > >> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden
 > >> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you
 > >> would have to.

> >>

> >> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves to
 do

> >> what they must to protect our way of life.

> >> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many
 > >> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those
 > >> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.

> >>

> >> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the
 > >> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles
 > >> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to kill
 > >> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not
 > >> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at
 > >> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.

> >>

> >> DC

> >>

> >>

> >>

> >

> >I thought I would mention that a buddy of mine makes hand made custom guitar necks. He is crazy about exotic woods. He recently came across Brazilian rose wood veneer. I guess it has orange, black and yellow stripes in it.

He is going to do head stocks with it. He was doing some EVH style necks and Fender jumped all over him. He does good work, and he can build you what ever you want.

He is on ebay, but you can check out his web site pictures of his work, look at each page.

jcsmusicalinstruments.com/

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=330018340171&ssPageName=MERC_VIC_ReBay_Pr2_PcY_BIN_IT&refitem=330003738202&itemcount=2&refwidgetloc=closed_view_item&usedrule1=CategoryProximity&refwidgettype=cross_promot_widget

<http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ih=014&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMEWA%3AIT&rd=1>

James

"DC" <dc@spammersinnyc.com> wrote:

Subject: Re: OT; Who was the first president of th US?
Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 13:59:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nature of reality.

>

> I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the nightly news
> bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is
> justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd rather
> be dead than be forced to be a mulsim.....and I know my wife would rather
> die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.

>

>

>

> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3ae02@linux...

>> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive dialog

>> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
>> nature of reality.
>>
>> Great post, Sarah.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> -Jamie
>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>
>>
>> Sarah wrote:
>>> (heavy s

Subject: Re: OT; Who was the first president of th US?
Posted by [jef knight\[1\]](#) on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 15:55:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

know Him or not, that things proceeded in
>>>> the past as they do today, and Jesus' death and resurrection are
>>>> human myths created, as all "god talk" is, by humans to comfort
>>>> themselves...
>>>>
>>>> You may not be an atheist, but you accept *all* of their basic
>>>> assumptions about existence.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Meanwhile, back to the original topic: picture 100,000 dead Iraqis
>>>>> (conservative estimate). Picture them all together in a pile. What
> do
>>>> you
>>>>> think . . . would it fill a football stadium, like a big bowl of brown
>>>>> rice?
>>>>> I don't know, but now tell me how many of those were terrorists? 100?
>>>> 500?
>>>>> 1000? It doesn't matter does it? Not to those who were innocent and
>>>>> their
>>>>> families.
>>>>>
>>>>> Now picture 2500 dead American soldiers and the 62,000 wounded or
> maimed.
>>>>> If we were lied into this "war" based on hidden agendas, I can think
> of
>>>> some
>>>>> heads of state whose heads should roll. They probably won't, but it's
> a
>>>>> nice thought. Picture Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfeld in orange jumpsuits
> and

>>>> chains . . . ni-i-i-i-ice. I feel better.

>>>>

>>>> Sarah

>>>> And it is this view that concerns me that we, as a people, are no

>>>> longer able to protect freedom. There were innocents killed in all

>>>> wars. This is no different. Would you broadcast from Dresden

>>>> or Nagasaki calling for the jailing of Truman? By your rules, you

>>>> would have to.

>>>>

>>>> We now have a whole generation of people who cannot bring themselves to

> do

>>>> what they must to protect our way of life.

>>>> Given that we face the most evil and implacable enemy in many

>>>> years, I am not sure that we will retain the freedom that those

>>>> WWII vets you admire so much, fought and died for.

>>>>

>>>> And finally, notice please, not one word of condemnation for the

>>>> ghouls and head choppers. Those who would use baby bottles

>>>> for binary explosives and die, with their infant in their arms, to kill

>>>> some of us. Not one word. This is why you simply are not

>>>> convincing me here. It is selective pacifism, pointed only at

>>>> Israel and the west and there is no righteousness in it.

>>>>

>>>> DC

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>

>8/15/06 ACSI report

<http://blogs.zdnet.com/Apple/?p=265>

http://news.com.com/PC+users+cant+get+no+satisfaction/2100-1 041_3-6105569.html

James

"LaMont Davis" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote:

>

>I'm sorry buddy :), but that "Apple Number#1 in customer support is false.

>Dell has had that distinction for 11 years running. JD Power and other industry

>metrics. Look it up.

>

>I'm a 20 year IT Dude, 10 with GM, and our standard desktop up until 1995

>was the Mac IIci(alltime favorite mac)!! the support Apple was spotty at

>best. But, when we went with DELL, we immediately saw difference in support

>quality. And to this day, Dell's customer support is in a league of their

>own. Just like Lexus, Dell continues to set the benchmark in support.

>
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>Well I'll just speak to what I know of Apple. I was a dealer at one time,
>>and their service was out standing. At the time they were number one the
>>the industry year after year.
>>
>>If you get a defective machine, you can retu

Subject: Re: OT; Who was the first president of th US?

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 15:55:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rn it with in 30 days. Their
>>is a standard one year warranty on hardware. They will replace any thing
>>that is defective free of charge in that year. if you get the extended
>warranty
>>they will replace any thing that is defective free of charge for three
years,
>>including shipping. You can add the extended warranty any time in the
first
>>year, and they have extended that to me and others I know past the first
>>year.
>>
>>I had a head crash on a lap top at the end of my warranty, I had my lap
>top
>>back in 48 hours with a new larger hard drive. Apple offered to back up
>>my old drive on my new drive even though my damaged hard drive was not
mechanically
>>working, it was just clicking and making a grinding noise.
>>
>>I had a doctor in rural AL. that had a problem with a Mac clone machine
>I
>>sold him. I couldn't find somebody to service that machine at his office.
>> I called Apple, they sent a guy out that fixed his clone machine with
in
>>two hours and charged less than the going rate.
>>
>>Those are just two of my experiences with Apple service. I think their
>pretty
>>good.
>>
>>I know that on other audio boards some people are still using the G4 FW800
>>machines. I'm not sure what was up with Rick's machine. Apple is known
>>for replacing the whole machine when a customer is really unhappy and there
>>has been a lot of repairs. However it sounds like Apple dropped the ball
>>on this one.

>>
>>I think a letter from an attorney would have gotten Ricks money back, time
>>is another story. There are Lemon laws that apply to computers also that
>>may still be exercisable.
>>
>>I'm sorry about what happened to Rick, it wasn't right.
>>
>>James
>>
>>"Aaron Allen" <nospam@not_here.dude> wrote:
>>>James, love ya man... but I have to take this one a little out of context
>>
>>>for humor's sake :)
>>>
>>>*Apple has vary quick turnaround.*
>>>
>>>Yup, they turned Rick around pretty quick and repeatedly as I remember..
>>
>>>poor uncle rick....
>>>
>>>AA
>>>
>>>
>>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:44d96ef8\$1@linux...
>>>>
>>>> I do use both platforms.
>>>>
>>>> You can put a Pioneer DVD drive in your Mac and it will work. Do a

>>>> search,
>>>> the are some Sony 16x models that will also work. Apple doesn't make
>>the
>>>> system drives, so I don't see how you can blame the for that. You might
>>>> want to call WD, Seagate, or who ever made the drive.
>>>>
>>>> I haven't looked at the inside of a new Mac, but I would bet that there
>>
>>>> are
>>>> some Apple chips in there, I'm thinking a ROM chip.
>>>>
>>>> Text editor, please, there are plenty of them out there that work, some
>>
>>>> are
>>>> free some are not. What's wrong with text edit?
>>>>
>>>> Here are some sites:
>>>>

>>>> http://www.alanwood.net/unicode/utilities_editors_macosx.htm I
>>>>
>>>> <http://www.barebones.com/products/textwrangler/>
>>>>
>>>> <http://www.barebones.com/products/bbedit/>
>>>>
>>>> <http://www.nisus.com/Express/>
>>>>
>>>> <http://macromates.com/>
>>>>
>>>> <http://www.tex-edit.com/>
>>>>
>>>> <http://www.jedit.org/>
>>>>
>>>> <http://smultron.sourceforge.net/>
>>>>
>>>> Your right Apple MOBOs have always been expensive, they are like custom
>>
>>>> aircraft
>>>> parts, they just don't make enough of them to be cheap. You may be
able
>>>> to slap a PC MOBO in the new Mac Pro
>>>>
>>>> Your talking about a four or five year old machine as if it were a current
>>>> model. Which Dual do you have?
>>>>
>>>> I would bet that if you really looked you would find more Apple repair
>>
>>>>

Subject: Re: OT; Who was the first president of th US?

Posted by [rick](#) on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 16:36:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt; .

>>>> . Digital Systems Inc.
>>>> . 319j Aspen Airport Business Ctr?Aspen, CO 81611
>>>> .
>>>> .
>>>> .
>>>> .
>>>> .
>>>> . Kolter.com LLC
>>>> . 305 W Colorado Ave?Trinidad, CO 81082
>>>> .
>>>> .
>>>> .
>>>> .

>>>> . Power Technology Solutions Grp Inc.
 >>>> . 112 S 3rd Ave?Sterling, CO 80751
 >>>> .
 >>>> .
 >>>> .
 >>>> .
 >>>> . Connecting Point
 >>>> . 3223 E Pershing Blvd?Cheyenne, WY 82001
 >>>> .
 >>>> .
 >>>> .
 >>>> .
 >>>> . Collins Consulting
 >>>> . 630 Woofter Ave?Colby, KS 67701
 >>>> .
 >>>> .
 >>>> .
 >>>> .
 >>>> . Connecting Point Durango
 >>>> . 835 Main Avenue #215?Durango, CO 81301
 >>>>
 >>>>
 >>>> James
 >>>>
 >>>>
 >>>> Dedric Terry <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
 >>>>>James - Lamont pointed out the Nuendo on OSX is a Nuendo issue - it's
 >>only
 >>>>>relevant to Nuendo users considering a platform.
 >>>>>
 >>>>>As far as hardware? Macs are no longer different in the chipset
 >>>>>department
 >>>>>(cpu), unless they will still be relegated to motherboards with a locked
 >>>>>bios chip (e.g. Mac/OSX specific). Therein would lie the same problem
 >>we
 >>>>>are facing now - to fix our lemon dual G4 would cost \$700 for a new
 >>>>>motherboard. If it were a PC I could replace it for less than \$100.
 >
 >>>>>Also,
 >>>>>replacing the bad "superdrive" (won't burn CDs anymore - just DVDs),
 >is
 >>>>>limited to spec'd drives (one I believe, not the 25 or so I have options
 >>>> for
 >>>>>on my PC). Anything else might not even work, according to Apple.
 With
 >>>> a
 >>>>>PC, that would be a 5 minute, \$79 fix. I've also replaced the system
 >>

>>>>>drive
>>>>>twice on this Mac. I've had 5 PCs and never had to do any of the above.
>>>>>
>>>>>As far as software - sure if you need 3 operating systems, you can say
>>you
>>>>>can run more software - at least open it. However, I've always been
>able
>>>> to
>>>>>find whatever I needed for PC, but not so with our Macs - just last
night
>>>> I
>>>>>tried to find a simple text editor (other than OSX's), but couldn't
find
>>>> one
>>>>>that actually worked. I've run into more "problems" like this on our
>>OSX
>>>>>Mac than anywhere else, sad to say.
>>>>>
>>>>>In reality, Apple may seem to have narrowed the competitive gap between
>>>> Macs
>>>>>and PCs, but they have also further blurred the line that separates
them
>>>>>from a PC functionally and technically - it all could backfire once
users
>>>>>start to see them as even more interchangeable (e.g. price is always
>the
>>>>>first deciding factor for the majority of buyers in any market).
>>>>>
>>>>>In fact, unlocking OSX to run on any Intel platform could be either
the
>>>> best
>>>>>move they make, or the last. That's really all that's left to set Macs
>>>>>apart now... They don't win on repair costs, hardware costs, or
>>>>>widespread
>>>>>availability (one Apple service center in Co. Springs - tons of PC repair
>>>>>options, including Geek Squad for those so inclined... if you don't
do
>>
>>>>>your
>>>>>own). With a starting price of \$2500 for a new Mac, they aren't exactly
>>>>>competing for a larger market share now - pretty much the same as every
>>>> new
>>>>>model before. As analysts have observed, Apple will have a hard time
>>>>>winning the corporate/server market, and that's where their prices put
>>
>>>>>them.
>>>>>
>>>>>So, why would I want to run Windows or Unix on more expensive, closed,

>>and
>>>>costly to repair, and impossible to upgrade hardware platform? I don't.
>>>> It
>>>>just doesn't make economic sense. Great marketing tactic for those
that
>>>>haven't used both though.
>>>>
>>>>Regards,
>>>>Dedric
>>>>
>>>>On 8/8/06 4:07 PM, in article 44d90b0c\$1@linux, "James McCloskey"
>>>><excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I don't know about Nuendo, I was under the impression that it ran
well
>>>> on
>>>>> a Mac??? If it's a problem, I'm sure they will get it fixed in short
>>>> order.
>>>>>
>>>>> As far as mac hardware goes, Mac is still a Mac, just different chips.
>>>>> Software? Every Mac shipping will run more software than a PC, and
>they
>>>> ha

Subject: Re: OT; Who was the first president of th US?

Posted by [rick](#) on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 16:36:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ve
>>>>> for years. For some that will be worth the price of admission, for
>>
>>>>> others
>>>>> it's not important. Macs have been able to run Mac and Windows software
>>>>> since the early 90s, and UNIX since 2001. You can run more software
>>
>>>>> than
>>>>> a PC, and all the Major operating systems on one box. It's just easier,
>>>>> faster and less expensive now. That could only be good for a studio.
>>>>>
>>>>> I'm sure you can build a cheaper box, but it will be hard to compete
>>
>>>>> with
>>>>> what apple is offering today! The new Mac Pro is a cool, sleek, high
>>>> end
>>>>> machine. Look at the comparative costs to the Dell in the WWDC video.
>>>> Sure

```
>>>>> you can DIY, or roll your own, but it just won't be the same. You'll
>>>> save
>>>>> money up front, but not in the long run when you have to spend hours
>>
>>>>> tweaking,
>>>>> and fussing just to get your generic machine to work right.
>>>>>
>>>>> Once again for me it's ease of use, less headaches, and
```

Subject: Re: OT; Who was the first president of th US?

Posted by [TCB](#) on Thu, 06 Jul 2006 20:35:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[illegible]

them?

>

Interesting that you should ask. there was recently a forum held at a local church here. there were Christians, Jews, Buddhists, Muslims and American Indians. Things were going nicely until a Jew asked the Muslims whether they would renounce the use of non-retaliatory violence against those of other religions. You could have heard a pin drop for about 10 seconds before the Muslim gentleman started scooting around like a crawdad.....and he never gave a straight answer.

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3f062@linux...

>

> Reality is measurable. The nature of reality is often debated. The
> challenge is to accept and respect each other while still allowing for
> disagreement.

>

> Can't let the other go without comment: The bloodletting on the nightly
> news is just a drop in the bucket, we don't see most of it.

>

> Were the Muslims in Saddam's Iraq just about to march over to Durango to
> convert your wife? Maybe with all of those WMD the inspectors concluded
> weren't there? Wouldn't work anyway, she'd sic your big dogs on 'em!

>

> What about the Muslims already in Durango, what are you gonna do about
them?

>

> Maybe the problem isn't Muslims. Maybe the problem is that people with
> little opportunity turn to fanatics for help and hope, and are used and
> manipulated in the process.

>

> I don't know why we turn to fanatics here. Fear?

>

> Muslims, the new, improved "commies." They're in your closet. They're
> after your wife. Vote for me and I'll \$\$olve the problem!

>

> Cheers,

> -Jamie

> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

>

>

> DJ wrote:

> >> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
> >> nature of reality.

> >

> > I think reality is pretty cut and dried and is evidenced by the nightly
news

> > bloodletting. I think the differences are defined in terms of who is

> > justified in killing whom and for what reason. Thing is, I think I'd rather
> > be dead than be forced to be a muslim.....and I know my wife would rather
> > die.....and she's mean enough to take quite a few with her.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:44e3ae02@linux...
> >> One of the biggest challenges we face is how to have constructive dialog
> >> between people who operate from very different assumptions about the
> >> nature of reality.
> >>
> >> Great post, Sarah.
> >>
> >> Cheers,
> >> -Jamie
> >> <http://www.JamieKruz.com>
> >>
> >>
> >> Sarah wrote:
> >>> (heavy sigh) I'm not sure why I'm continuing with this . . . I learned
> >>> years ago you can't argue with a religious person. Yet I feel compelled
> > to
> >>> make my views clear to you, since you are pretty much consistently wrong
> > in
> >>> your assumptions about what I'm saying. I think you're still trying to
> > view
> >>> me as a "type" rather than just as that unique thing I like to call
> > "me."
> >>> First of all, the term "blind faith" is pretty much redundant. Faith is
> >>> essentially, by definition, blind. Faith is "belief that does not rest
> > on
> >>> logical proof or material evidence." You can have your own definition
> > if
> >>> you need to, but that's from the dictionary, and makes sense to me.
> > If
> > you
> >>> have proof or evidence, it's not longer a belief, is it? I believe in
> >>> gravity, even though I can
