
Subject: Error Code

Posted by [Greg O](#) on Wed, 23 Aug 2006 14:01:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

=3DArial size=3D2>AA</DIV>

<BLOCKQUOTE dir=3Dltr=20

style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">

Subject: Re: Error Code

Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Wed, 23 Aug 2006 16:09:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>Tony

>>>

>>>

>>>"rick" <parnell68@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>>>news:k0c0h2hl6cc83qvha4esc96935fo3nqtb1@4ax.com...

>>>>i nominate you for john's diplomat search..props to you...damn, i

>>>> wasn't going to enter this fray...

>>>>

>>>> On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 11:31:50 -0500, "Tony Benson"

>>>> <tony@standinghampton.com> wrote:

>>>>

>>>>>With all due respect ulfiyya, the general consensus here is that anyone

>>>>>can

>>>>>discuss anything they fe

Subject: Re: Error Code

Posted by [john\[4\]](#) on Wed, 23 Aug 2006 20:08:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt;>>>> made

>>>>> through

>>>>>>>> Christ and the Scriptures by which humans are justified or saved.

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> I don't see anything in there about risk. My point is that people

>>>>>>>> can

>>>>>>>> do

>>>>>>>> the things you're talking about knowing full well they might fail,

>>>>>>>> but

>>>>>>>> do

>>>>>>>> them anyway. That's taking a risk, not having faith. I don't have

>>>>>>>> faith

>>>>>>> but
>>>>>>>> I've taken lots of personal and professional risks in my life.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> TCB
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>> We can disagree about that but the first line is the dictionary
>>>>>>>>> definition.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>>>>> http://www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> TCB wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> You're confusing 'faith' with 'a willingness to take risks.'
>>>>>>>>>> Animals
>>>>>>>>>> take
>>>>>>>>>>> risks, to get food, create more animals, etc., but I doubt they
>>>>>>>>>>> have
>>>>>>>>>>> faith.
>>>>>>>>>>> One needs no faith to start businesses, invest money, get
>>>>>>>>>>> married,
>>>>>>>>>>> etc.
>>>>>>>>>>> Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Faith is complete trust or confidence in something or someone.
>>>>>>>>>>>> Religious
>>>>>>>>>>>>> faith is one form of faith but not the only definition of
>>>>>>>>>>>>> "faith."
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> For example I have faith that if I drop a guitar pick it will
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> find
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> its
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> way to the floor based on the gravitational attraction it has
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Subject: Re: Error Code

Posted by [Greg O](#) on Wed, 23 Aug 2006 20:33:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;>>> to
>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> planet. I have faith that I'll breathe my next breath, that
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> I'll
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> see
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> tomorrow morning. I have faith that other people are put
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> together

>>>>> much
>>>>>>>>>> like I am and that I can therefore relate to other folks.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Without faith, people would not invest money. Without faith
>>>>>>>>>> people
>>>>>>> would
>>>>>>>>>> not vote. Without faith people would not start businesses, hire
>>>>>>>>>> other
>>>>>>>>>> people, raise children. Without faith people would not ride
>>>>>>>>>> trains,
>>>>>>> fly
>>>>>>>>>>&g

Subject: Re: Error Code
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 23 Aug 2006 21:30:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

igion without faith. Religion depends on faith that one or
>>>>>>>>>> more
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> deities (good and sometimes bad) exist, that their associated
>>>>>>>>>> stories
>>>>>>>>>> actually occurred, and often, that there is some sort of
>>>>>>>>>> afterlife.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> However, religious people believe in a variety of different
>>>>>>>>>> deities.
>>>>>>>>>> Even those who believe in the same deity disagree, sometimes
>>>>>>>>>> violently,
>>>>>>>>>> about the nature of their deity. Religions sometimes even
>>>

Subject: Re: Error Code
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 23 Aug 2006 21:39:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>>>>>>> disagree
>>>>>>> about
>>>>>>>>>> the nature of reality. If you want to base morality strictly on
>>>>>>>>>> religion, and you look around, you'll notice that religion can
>>>>>>>>>> be
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> somewhat chaotic basis unless...
>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>> Ah, you might say, I want to base morality on MY religion.
>>>>>>>>>>> Well,
>>>>> you
>>>>>>>>>>> just dissed the majority of religions. No problem because THEY
>>>>>>>>>>> ARE
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> WRONG. And people who believe in those religions may just be
>>>>>>>>>>> saying
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>>>>>> same thing about you and your religion. For those religions who
>>>>>>>>>>> are
>>>>>>> not
>>>>>>>>>>> tolerant of other ideas, you may just have started a war.
>>>>>&

gt;>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> So perhaps it's BETTER, in our time, to have a system of
>>>>>>>>> justice
>>>>> that
>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>>> NOT based on a religion. But one which guarantees everyone the
>>>>>>>>> right
>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>> practice the religion of their choice, guarantees other
>>>>>>>>> freedoms
>>>>>> such
>>>>>>>> as
>>>>>>>>> we in the USA do in our Bill of Rights, encourages honesty and
>>>>>>>>> integrity, while enforcing some common sense limits such as no
>>>>>>>>> human
>>>>>>>>> sacrifices, a minimum age for marriage, no incest, no slavery,
>>>>>>>>> no
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> murder, no rape, no stealing, those sorts of things.
&

Page 4 of 8 ---- Generated from The PARIS Forums

>>>>>>>>>> What is viewed as morality beyond a fair justice system and
>>>>>>>>>> common
>>>>>>>>>> sense
>>>>>>>>>> rules of behavior can be left to each freely chosen religion to
>>>>>>>>>> sort
>>>>>>>>>> out, like whether to restrict diet in some way, whether to wear
>>>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> certain type of clothing, how to pray, etc. But none of these
>>>>>>>>>> additional
>>>>>>>>>> practices should be imposed on society as a whole.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> The Golden Rule may also be of use as a basic moral foundation.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> So yes, you can have a moral system, one that BTW protects the
>>>>>>>>>> freedom
>>>>>>>>>> to practice religious beliefs (or not), without basing it on
>>>>>>>>>> any
>>>>>>>>>> one
>>>>>>>>>> religion. And it can protect all religions better than a system
>>>>>>>>>> based
>>>>>>>>>> on
>>&g

Subject: Re: Error Code
Posted by [John \[1\]](#) on Wed, 23 Aug 2006 23:22:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>>>>>>>>> any one religion (AKA a theocracy).
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> There are other issues surrounding religions, such as the many
>>>>>>>>>> examples
>>>>>>>>>> of selfless dedication to helping others on the one hand, and
>>>>>>>>>> hijacked
>>>>>>>>>> religions used to legitimize earthly power structures in other
>>>>>>>>>> cases.
>>>>>>>>>> Dedric, I look forward to talking with you about the positives
>>>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> negatives of various religions, and where a moral culture ought
>>>>>>>>>> to
>>>>>>>>>> go
>>>>>>>>>> >from here, whenever we next get together.
>>>>>>>>>> Cheers,
>>>>>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>>>>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
>>>>>>>>>>

Subject: Re: Error Code
Posted by [rick](#) on Thu, 24 Aug 2006 09:48:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subject: Re: Error Code
Posted by [Deej \[1\]](#) on Fri, 25 Aug 2006 04:48:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Page 6 of 8 ---- Generated from The PARIS Forums

> >>
> >> Depending on lookahead of course :-)
> >>
> >> "Rod Lincoln" <rlincoln@nospam.kc.rr.com> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >Yeah, 2 samples of latency (at 44.1) for eds plugs
> >> >Rod
> >> >Chris Ludwig <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote:
> >> >>Hi Jimmy,
> >> >>As far as I've ever seen the EDS plugins have little or no latency as
> >> >>they exist in the hardware just like on a Pro Tools HD system.
> >> >>Chris
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>Uptown Jimmy wrote:
> >> >>> Wait a minute: Paris atuo-compenstaes for EDS plugins?!
> >> >>>
> >> >>> I'm very surprised, if true.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Jimmy
> >> >>>
> >> >>> "Chris Ludwig" <chrisl@adkproaudio.com> wrote in message
> >> >>>

Subject: Re: Error Code
Posted by [rick](#) on Fri, 25 Aug 2006 08:44:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

news:450ff34f\$1@linux...
> >> >>>> HI,
> >> >>>> It only matters under 2 scenarios.
> >> >>>> 1. Playing VST INstruments in real time.
> >> >>>> 2. Processing native effects on a live input.
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>> Otherwise you can set the buffer high to free up resources.
> >> >>>> When using Direct monitoring the software monitor inputs at the
> > hardware
> >> >>>> level so no latency.
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>> ADC becomes more useful in the mixing stage Cubase will keep all
the
> >> >>>> plug ins including external plug ins sample accurate. Paris will
do
> >> this
> >> >>>> only with its EDS effects not with native. Actually most programs
> > didn't
> >> >>>> only had this type of compensation on inserts only forever.

> > Steinberg
> > >>> were the first to figure out how to do it across the whole path. I
> > wish
> > >>> Steinberg would stop with all the innovation stuff so I wouldn't
> > >>> have
> > >to
> > >>> keep track of all the new features the competitors have in their
> > >>> programs. :0
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>> Chris
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>> Uptown Jimmy wrote:
> > >>>> What do buffer and latency matter for anymore, what with ADC on
> > native
> > >>>> systems?
> > >>>>
> > >>>> I seriously have no idea, being a Paris junkie
