
Subject: A Cubase question for the Paris group
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Sat, 14 Apr 2007 15:44:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t;>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>

>Chas. Duncan <duncan5199ATsbcglobalDOTnet@> wrote:

>Maybe a more pertinent piece of contemporary fiction would be "The

>Road" by Cormac MacCarthy -- there's a cheerful view of the

>post-apocalyptic future for ya...

That guy used to live here until he got pretty well-known in the past few years, and got sick of people driving by his house & staring in & peeking over his fence, etc, trying to catch a glimpse of the famous guy lol I think he retreated to Santa Fe now.

NeilActually Don, there is a smear campaign going the other way, financed by special interest money (largely Exxon). Meanwhile the facts and evidence are with the scientific consensus (which is why it is a scientific consensus).

Subject: Re: A Cubase question for the Paris group
Posted by [neil\[1\]](#) on Sat, 14 Apr 2007 16:06:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You should really read the science.

Unless you have a predisposition to either distrust science or believe things are fine, in which case you can ally yourself with a very few dissenting scientists (there are always a few in the minority on any subject), a cadre of special interest PR folks working diligently to extend Exxon profits, truth be damned, and a novelist.

But you'll be hard pressed to find solid peer-reviewed science to back them up. It's largely speculative, even desperate rationalizations amplified by special interest money that use repetition and talk radio to sound credible but are not. It's a house of cards built on unpublished and unsupported theories, roundly debunked by mainstream science based on facts on the ground and in the atmosphere.

A better path is to acknowledge the trumped up "controversy" for what it is, but then listen to what scientists in multiple fields have actually published in peer-reviewed journals. See how the evidence lines up. And

draw your conclusions from there.

If you don't mind reading a matter-of-fact overview, I recommend the Charles Keller paper and its update linked here as a non-hyped way to start:

ht

Subject: Re: A Cubase question for the Paris group
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Sat, 14 Apr 2007 16:27:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[tp://www.thescientificworld.com/SCIENTIFICWORLDJOURNAL/main/Home.asp](http://www.thescientificworld.com/SCIENTIFICWORLDJOURNAL/main/Home.asp)

As for the latest Mars argument, do some research on that one and you'll find it's another red herring. An apples/oranges comparison that doesn't wash.

Finally, if the name Gore makes you see red, then simply ignore Al. This is not a partisan issue and it's not about any one guy. If you can't listen to a Democrat on the subject, listen to the many Republicans who have read the science and are getting to work on the problem. There's a long, long list of Republican scientists, business leaders and elected officials who recognize the problem and are getting to work on it.

Which leads us back to the topic of this thread: the new conservative French President Nicolas Sarkozy said in his acceptance speech that he will make global warming a top priority. For all we know he may hate Al as much as you do. But he recognizes the problem of global warming and is making it a priority.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

DC wrote:

> Nope. That is the smear campaign, not the facts. There is some
> dispute, many challenges remain and have been strengthened since the
> movie.
>
> Besides, the prejudice in what you said is clear. How do you know that
> someone who questions the current orthodoxy is an anti-environmentalist?
>
> <http://www.independent.org/newsroom/article.asp?id=1945>
>
> The real scary thing for the Gore-ites is the ongoing research into
> climate change on Mars... It seems to be a parallel to our own. If that

> is the case, humans are not the cause.
>
> DC
>
>
>
> "Sarah" <

Subject: Re: A Cubase question for the Paris group
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Sat, 14 Apr 2007 20:11:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>>>> Jamie and the rest of you Parisites, here's another view regarding
>the
>>>>> global warming polemic, ideology, religion and orthodoxy. These scientists
>>>>> were involved with the IPCC's report, but dissociated themselves with
>>>>the
>>>>> same report when blatant omissions and mistruths were put forth by
>the
>>>>
>>>>> IPCC.
>>>>> They scientifically disprove that CO2 is the cause for temperature
>change.
>>>>> Before you all jump out of your skin and shout, "2500 top scientists
>>>are
>>>>
>>>>> on
>>>>> the IPCC panel and therefore it can't be wrong", you really should
>watch
>>>>> this with an open mind. You will, if you have an shred of rational,
>>
>>>>> logical
>>>>> reasoning, question the present hysteria over the global warming debate.
>>>>>
>>>>> [http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle .htm](http://www.jonhs.net/freemovies/great_global_warming_swindle.htm)
>>>>>
>>>>> Just keeping it real,
>>>>> Rich
>>>>>
>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:463f4b03\$1@linux...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Hey Neil, Sarkozy is in the news right now and his comment caught
>my
>>>>>> eye. I think his perspective on global warming is worth sharing.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cheers,

Subject: Re: A Cubase question for the Paris group
Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Sat, 14 Apr 2007 21:14:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>>>>> -Jamie
>>>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Neil wrote:
>>>>>> > Whaddya have a search engine portal permanently set to the
>>>>>> > term "global warming" or something?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> > Jamie K <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote:
>>>>>> >> Sarkozy on global warming:
>>>>>> >>
>>>>>> >> "a great nation like the United States has the duty not to oppose
>>>>the
>>>>>> >> fight against global warming, but to lead that battle, because
>what
>>>>is
>>>>>> >> at stake is the destiny of mankind."
>>>>>> >>
>>>>>> >> Cheers,
>>>>>> >> -Jamie
>>>>>> >> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>>>> >>
>>>>>> >>
>>>>>> >> Rich Lamanna wrote:
>>>>>> >>> France Elects a Thatcherite President
>>>>>> >>> Kenneth R. Timmerman
>>>>>> >>> Monday, May 7, 2007
>>>>>> >>> Newsmax.com
>>>>&g

Subject: Re: A Cubase question for the Paris group
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Sat, 14 Apr 2007 23:38:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

3XXYdjplKwryy6rXRq1tTB9iGsVcNDYPgY4t0UWH/HXJ3bK3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SqMRZnKuV7aAJrdD2n+FWLGyvTZ+3u3V1p+Qv4HfkfgrTQZPrPQ2ZshRmz0n
RhLXhMKesj5oaqZ8ipLZTq9IbJc9nNR3ldzbDBZvPily9Z13W3lBdajsHdAR
t+DOYaB1AJFnoWKksxBfg1qMaiJlXymNTydGscsUTSY5GOVkoU6xpw8hkqKk
juV8nlq+/PqGda9isYk71cyONjmvvmRrJHoqN5a57Y0RV+qo1OfQNvRSfDdUh
kFxmRj00jZ3WYSynwZ20eqp89cfNMVliOVY0knbynPPquaYQjhrCjsRiznu
FsKCW3hGh02cniavLY8zCDEr4k/SUjWtci+tN+ItjWRjZ7tLTabs7rTSlaKc
US2nbXNL2mYzuYInbA29s7kefSu+FlmwBKcxrXE/VkiPc9sqOe1zlbyjHOVz
U4ajU/Q1URP48J7qq8r6Reeeeff/AGr/AKXixOOVOVOVWVWL4LF7Lx/dcvyKi
fxX/AGetj1o4AyXed/d6VH49WSvFtCCMZ+MOlwlDrtWXYFo1yV4G9JvZxmLF
JHGUTolc9eQpZhbzTB/5dqp8zQj1GAxDp1rn384C1FSBlcmg9RZwjGytKiDC
dIESALNIPKSjR2St9bzabO0sJe3OwrGw1GtnLIJgHfbaOyldV0Bn3MqnV5FC
HXShCMeqT14l3gGOlkap2uviz7MiNCGhymMRkDR5x0BsrMUNFUcF10jHyR

Subject: Re: A Cubase question for the Paris group
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sun, 15 Apr 2007 14:32:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

terate adolescent.

> >>

> >>

> >> (Gary)

> >>

> >> Yeah? Well, my writing partner is a self-centered tedious neurotic
> >> whose attempts at writing are the literary equivalent of Valium. "Oh,
> >> shall I have chamomile tea? Or shall I have some other sort of F-- KING
TEA???

> >> Oh no, what am I to do? I'm such an air headed bimbo who reads

> >> too many Danielle Steele novels!"

> >>

> >>

> >> (Rebecca)

> >>

> >> As*h@le.

> >>

> >>

> >> (Gary)

> >>

> >> B*tch!

> >>

> >>

> >> (Rebecca)

> >>

> >> F**K YOU - YOU NEANDERTHAL!!

> >>

> >>

> >> (Gary)

> >>

> >> In your dreams, Ho. Go drink some tea.
> >>
> >>
> >> (TEACHER)
> >>
> >> A+ - I really liked this one
> >>
> >>
> >>
> Jesus, if I don't scare the computer into crashing no one will.

Rich

"Neil" <IUOIU@OI.com> wrote in message news:4642659d\$1@linux...

>
> Ummm.... I'll think about it.
>
> lol
>
>
> Paul Braun <cygnus_nospam@ctgonline.org> wrote:
> >On Tue, 8 May 2007 23:37:42 -0500, "Rich Lamanna"
> ><richard.lamanna@verizon.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Paul where can we see the "Gallery"?
> >>
> >>Rich
> >
> >I'll get it uploaded this weekend, and post the url
> >
> >Neil,

Subject: Re: A Cubase question for the Paris group
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sun, 15 Apr 2007 14:36:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

can you just use a digital camera and take a self-portrait in
> >the mirror or sumthin'??
> >
> >pab
> My comment was totally tung in cheek, but true. With 12 Macs 13 years on
the internet, no viruses! I'd say, that is reasonably secure. I know many
Mac users that have had a similar experience. Mac users don't have to worry
about going on the internet with their audio systems. I also know many PC
users that have had a completely different experience from Mac users.

I believe there are possible benefits in having a Paris system on a PC over

a Mac, if the latest VST plugins are important to you.

And as always, Aaron's advice was good advice!

James

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>

>Security by obscurity, James, which is barely security at all.

>

>TCB

>

>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>

>>"Aaron Allen" <know-spam@not_here.dude> wrote:

>>>I have a few of the 260's at my work. Good box - better than the Dimension

>>

>>>series - however with either of them you will probably have trouble feeding

>>

>>>power hungry EDS cards. 1 should fly, 2 will be questionable and 3 probably

>>

>>>won't run IME. Call dell to see if you can get a higher ou
